



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,431	04/03/2001	Stuart D. Baker	209.1001	2071

7590 12/13/2007
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC
485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10018

EXAMINER

SINGH, RACHNA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/825,431	BAKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Doug Hutton	2176	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Doug Hutton.

(3) _____.

 (2) Oleg Ioselevich.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2007
Time: 2:15 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Not applicable

Claims discussed:

Not applicable


Prior art documents discussed:

Not applicable
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Office Action dated 9/14/2007 contained a typographic error in the attached Office Action Summary indicating that the action is "non-final." As indicated on Page 11 of the Office Action, the examiner intended to make the Office Action a FINAL REJECTION. Doug Hutton, the Supervisory Patent Examiner of the examiner for this application, stated to Applicant that he should respond to the Office Action as a Final Rejection. Doug Hutton also stated that the proper corrections would be made at the USPTO to indicate the Office Action as being a Final Rejection.

For the record, Applicant does not accede to the positions taken by the USPTO and reserves the right to challenge those positions.